

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/828,266	Applicant(s) NAGATO ET AL.	
	Examiner SRINIVASA R. REDDIVALAM	Art Unit 4177	

All Participants: (1) Srinivasa R. Reddivalam.
(2) Zachary S. Stern.

Status of Application: Amendment
(3) _____.
(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 January 2005 **Time:** 11:00AM

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 1 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative authorized an entry of Examiner's Amendment to make a minor correction in claim 1 and cancel claim 9 in order to bring the case to allowance condition.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)